ADVISORY SERIES · EC-WP-704

Audit Readiness Without the Chaos

Building audit readiness as a continuous posture rather than a quarterly scramble.

Audit panic is not the audit's fault. The cause is months or years of operating in one mode and documenting in another, and the audit forces that gap into view at the worst possible time. This reference explains where the panic comes from, what readiness means in daily operating terms, and how strong programs make the audit a confirming event instead of a discovery event.

energycomplianceinc.com

OCTOBER 2026

Disclaimer & Legal Notice

This publication is the work product of Energy Compliance, Inc. and is provided for general information and educational purposes only. It does not constitute legal advice, regulatory guidance, engineering advice, or a formal interpretation of any NERC Reliability Standard, FERC order, RTO or ISO protocol, state commission rule, or Regional Entity directive.

This publication may not be used or cited as authority in any audit, enforcement, regulatory, or legal proceeding. Compliance with NERC Reliability Standards depends on facts and circumstances unique to each registered entity, and the reader should consult qualified counsel and subject matter experts when making compliance decisions.

NERC, FERC, and the names of Regional Entities are referenced here for descriptive purposes only. Energy Compliance, Inc. is not affiliated with, sponsored by, or endorsed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or any NERC Regional Entity.

Procedural references in this publication reflect the NERC Rules of Procedure and Regional Entity materials in effect as of October 2026. Confirm the current versions before relying on them.

Energy Compliance, Inc. assumes no responsibility or liability for errors, omissions, or outcomes resulting from the use of this publication.

Foreword

This professional reference is one of a series Energy Compliance, Inc. publishes for registered entities and the people who run their compliance programs.

I have spent more than thirty years on every side of the bulk electric system. I have operated control centers as a Reliability Coordinator, Transmission Operator, and Power System Operator. I have audited grid facilities and signed off on findings as a senior compliance auditor. I have worked enforcement matters from inside the regulator's process. For the last several years I have advised registered entities directly through the firm I founded.

The entities that do reliability well share a habit. They take the standards seriously without confusing them with reliability itself. A NERC Reliability Standard is a floor, not a ceiling. Compliance is something an auditor evaluates; reliability is something a system either delivers or does not. These entities prepare for audits by building programs that survive real questions, not binders that look thick.

That is the perspective these references try to share. Each one takes a single topic, whether a standard family, an operational function, a regulatory framework, or an emerging industry challenge, and walks through how it actually works.

They are written for the compliance manager who wants to understand the system and not just memorize requirements. For the legal counsel who has to brief a board honestly. For the senior operator who has been told that compliance and reliability are

the same thing and suspects they are not. And for the new compliance hire who was handed a binder and told good luck.

Energy Compliance exists because much of the consulting offered to registered entities today is structured for billable hours rather than outcomes. Every engagement is overseen by a senior practitioner. We do not bring five people to a meeting that needs one. We automate the work that should be automated and apply senior judgment to the work that requires it. If that is what you want in a compliance partner, our contact information is at the back of this reference.

If not, the reference still belongs to you. Take what is useful and apply it well. And remember the only test that ultimately matters: when the system needs to perform, does it?

Rob Smith

Founder and Principal, Energy Compliance, Inc.

Executive Summary

Audit panic is not the audit's fault. The audit is the trigger, not the cause. The cause is months or years of operating in one mode and documenting in another, and the audit forces that gap into view at the worst possible time.

Mature programs do not panic, because they have built themselves so that panic is unnecessary. For them readiness is a daily property, and the work after a notification is a routine refresh. This reference describes where audit panic comes from, what readiness means in operating terms, why over-preparation is its own failure mode, how a NERC Compliance Audit actually runs under the Rules of Procedure, and how the strongest programs treat the audit as a confirming event rather than a discovery event.

- Audit readiness is built daily. A program that starts building it three months before the audit is already late.
- Panic is the program telling you it has been documenting one operation and running another.
- Preparation and readiness are different products. Preparation is what you do. Readiness is what you are.
- Over-preparation is a failure mode. Programs that over-prepare are usually compensating for something they did not fix.
- The audit process is written down. Appendix 4C to the NERC Rules of Procedure sets the notice periods, the document request, the exit briefing and the draft-report review. The auditor is not improvising.
- Role clarity is decided before the audit. Confusion in the room means confusion in the program.
- Ready means the operators can answer the auditor's questions in their own words without reading the binder.

1 Why Audit Panic Happens

Under Appendix 4C, a registered entity hears about a planned Compliance Audit at least 270 days before it begins. The panic still tends to start when the audit notice lands, and it was building long before that.

1.1 The Familiar Sequence

Most compliance teams know the pattern. The audit notification arrives and the hours get longer. Someone reviews documentation against operations and finds discrepancies. Evidence packages get reassembled. SMEs get scheduled for rehearsal. By the time the audit team shows up, the program has been running on adrenaline for sixty days, and the people in it are tired and unsure what the auditor will find.

Plenty of entities accept this as part of the audit cycle. I do not. The misalignment did not appear in the sixty days before the notification. It built up over years. The panic is the program telling the entity what it had been carrying without naming.

1.2 What the Calm Programs Do Differently

Programs that do not panic share one characteristic: they stay aligned continuously. The documentation reflects the operation. The evidence is current. The SMEs are prepared because they use the framework as part of normal work. For these programs a notification triggers a refresh.

1.3 The Fix Is Structural

What fixes audit panic is structure. The program has to operate in alignment all the time, which is harder than panicking sixty days out and is the only fix that lasts. When it works, the audit becomes a non-event.

> **From the Field**
>
> Audit panic is the program telling you it has been documenting one operation and running another. The audit revealed it. It did not cause it. The misalignment did not build in the sixty days before the notification. It built for years, and the audit forced the reckoning. Pre-audit preparation is a sprint. Structural alignment is a discipline.

2 Preparation vs Readiness

Preparation is what you do before an audit. Readiness is what the program is. The two get confused all the time, and the confusion is expensive.

2.1 Two Different Things

Preparation is the activity of getting the program into shape ahead of a scheduled audit. Readiness is the program already being in shape, whatever the audit calendar says. Entities that confuse them prepare over and over and never become ready.

2.2 Preparation Is Project Work

Preparation has a start, a scope, and an end. The team identifies gaps, closes them, runs rehearsals, and stands down when the audit closes. Then the program drifts back toward where it started, because nobody changed the conditions that produced the drift. The next cycle needs another round of preparation, and it is often heavier than the last because the drift kept going in the meantime.

2.3 Readiness Is Structural

A ready program is in a state where the audit could land at any time and land cleanly. Documentation is current because someone maintains it continuously. Evidence exists because normal operations generate it as the work gets done. SMEs can speak to the framework because they use it every day on the job.

Most programs sit somewhere between the two. Pure preparation produces audit fatigue and recurring drift. Pure readiness is rare and costly to build. In my view the mature programs are mostly ready and prepare lightly, while the struggling ones are mostly unprepared and panic heavily. Effort is not what separates them. A structural commitment to readiness, sustained over years, is.

> **From the Field**
>
> Preparation is what you do. Readiness is what you are. A program that prepares every cycle and never becomes ready is doing the wrong work. Pure preparation produces audit fatigue and recurring drift, and each cycle is heavier than the last. The gap between mature and struggling programs is structural, not a matter of effort.

3 The Documentation-Execution Gap

In my view the most likely source of an audit finding is the gap between documented procedure and actual execution. Close that gap and you close most of your exposure.

3.1 Where Findings Come From

A Compliance Audit, as the Rules of Procedure define it, is a systematic, objective review of records and activities to determine whether a registered entity meets the requirements of the applicable standards. Records and activities. The audit team reads the procedure, then looks at the evidence and talks to the people who do the work. When the two do not match, the mismatch is what gets written up. Mature programs treat this gap as the central problem and treat almost everything else as derivative.

3.2 How the Gap Opens

The gap usually opens through ordinary means. Someone writes a procedure. The operation evolves. Nobody updates the procedure. Six months becomes eighteen, and now the procedure describes an operation that no longer exists. Operators run the operation as it actually is, which is what they should do, and the audit team finds the discrepancy as soon as it compares procedure to practice.

The reverse happens too. The procedure describes a thorough control, and operators run a lighter version because the documented one cannot be performed inside the operating window. The procedure is aspirational and the execution is pragmatic. The audit team sees both and evaluates the gap, whether or not the version actually in use would have met the requirement on its own.

3.3 Continuous Reconciliation

Closing the gap is the core work of audit readiness. The procedure has to describe what the operation does, and the operation has to do what the procedure describes. That reconciliation runs continuously, not once a cycle. In my view, programs that keep it up tend to come through audits with few findings. Programs that let it lapse get findings that look obvious afterward, including to the operators who saw them coming.

> **From the Field**
>
> Procedures get revised on a schedule. Operations change every day. The audit team finds the space between the two. Closing that space is continuous reconciliation work, not a pre-audit task. Programs that skip it get findings that looked obvious in hindsight.

4 The Over-Preparation Trap

Some programs prepare too much, and over-preparation is its own failure mode.

4.1 The Less-Discussed Failure

Most talk about audit preparation is about doing too little. Doing too much gets less attention, even though it is also a failure. Programs that over-prepare spend large amounts of time and money assembling artifacts the auditor will never read, rehearsing scenarios that will not come up, and second-guessing decisions that were already right.

4.2 More Material Buries the Gap

In my view, over-preparation usually signals that the program suspects gaps it cannot identify and is trying to cover them with volume. The extra material does not close the gap. It buries it. When the audit team asks a precise question, the entity has to dig through a larger pile to find the answer, and if the artifacts contradict each other, the contradiction becomes its own problem.

4.3 Fatigue Shows in the Room

A team that has spent ninety days rehearsing and reworking its submittal arrives at the audit exhausted. Over-coached SMEs answer in language that sounds scripted. Dense submittals invite the audit team to pull threads the entity would never have pulled itself, and the review grows past what the underlying program would have warranted.

4.4 Calibrate to the Actual Gap

Good preparation is sized to the real gap. It deals with the handful of issues the program knows it has. It does not invent work to fill the calendar between notification and arrival. It treats the audit as a known process, which, as the next chapter shows, it is, and it saves the team's energy for the conversations that matter once the audit team is in the room.

> **From the Field**
>
> Over-preparation usually signals compensation, not diligence. Extra material does not close gaps. It buries them, and the team has to dig through the pile when a precise question comes. Size preparation to the actual gap, not to the calendar between notification and arrival.

5 How an Audit Actually Unfolds

Section 4.1 of Appendix 4C to the NERC Rules of Procedure lays out how a Compliance Audit runs, from the first notice to the final report. Knowing it takes away the panic that comes from imagining it.

5.1 The Process Is Written Down

Much of audit panic comes from not knowing what the audit will look like. The Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program, Appendix 4C to the NERC Rules of Procedure, sets out the sequence for a Compliance Audit:

1. The Compliance Enforcement Authority (NERC or the Regional Entity) notifies the registered entity at least 270 days before a planned Compliance Audit begins.
2. At least 90 days before a scheduled audit begins, the Compliance Enforcement Authority sends the audit notice: the standards to be evaluated, the audit team members and their recent employment history, and the request for documents, data, and information.
3. The entity provides the requested material in the format and by the Required Date in the request.
4. The audit team reviews the submittal against the requirements of the standards in scope.
5. The audit team holds an exit briefing, gives the entity a chance to review the audit report before it is final, and completes the report.

The entity can object to an audit team member, and if the team changes after the original notice, the entity is told promptly and given time to object. The draft Compliance Audit report goes to the entity for comment, and the entity has 30 days to comment and to identify Confidential Information it wants redacted.

5.2 The Rhythm on the Ground

Regional Entities publish how their engagements run. WECC's March 2026 presentation on monitoring activities lays out its schedule as a scope discussion, planning meetings, an opening meeting, the on-site activity with daily debriefs, a closing meeting, and a 90-day call afterward. The notice package carries the due dates, the scope, and the attachments, including documentation instructions. Its request-for-information tab holds the Audit Notice Package and the initial request for information, and sampling and any additional requests are generated from the initial submittal.

5.3 The Auditor Follows a Method

The auditor is not improvising either. Appendix 4C requires Compliance Audits in the United States to be based on recognized professional auditing standards and conducted in accordance with NERC guidance for the standards in scope, and NERC posts the Reliability Standard Audit Worksheets on its website. Scope is tailored through NERC-approved risk-based processes,

including the entity's Inherent Risk Assessment and its internal controls. WECC tells entities they may receive an internal controls data collection template before the engagement, and that its staff will evaluate how effective those controls are. Put together, I think most of the questions an audit team will ask can be worked out in advance from the standards in scope, the RSAWs, and the evidence the entity submitted. Surprises still happen. In my view they happen less often than entities assume, and they usually trace to gaps the entity could have found by walking through its own evidence honestly.

5.4 Two Postures

Programs that know how audits unfold prepare differently. They map the likely line of questioning against the standards in scope. They work out which evidence answers which question. They rehearse SMEs for the interviews that will actually happen, not for a nightmare scenario. And they save their preparation energy for the few areas where the gap analysis shows real exposure.

Programs that treat audits as opaque prepare against everything, because they cannot predict what will be asked. They fall into the over-preparation pattern from Chapter 4. The work wears out the team, and the result reads as defensive, which makes it less credible.

> **From the Field**
>
> The notice periods, the document request, the exit briefing and the 30-day comment window are all in Appendix 4C. Read it before your next audit. In my view the questions are largely derivable from the standards in scope, the RSAWs, and your own submittal. Programs that treat audits as opaque over-prepare against everything, and it shows.

6 Role Clarity During an Audit

Confusion in the audit room is a direct readout of confusion in the program. Role clarity is decided before the audit and visible during it.

6.1 The Questions to Settle in Advance

How an audit goes depends in part on role clarity inside the entity. Who speaks for the program? Who answers technical questions? Who handles the document requests? Who escalates? Who logs the audit team's questions? Who runs the internal debrief at the end of each day? These are not small questions. Together they are the operating structure of the audit response, and an entity that has not answered them in advance ends up answering them on the fly.

6.2 What Clear Roles Look Like

In a program that has practiced its audit response, the compliance manager owns the relationship with the audit team. SMEs answer technical questions inside their own domain and nowhere else. A coordinator manages document requests and keeps a running log of every question. A senior leader is briefed daily on what is emerging and decides any escalation. The roles have names, the people are prepared, and the audit runs as a coordinated activity rather than a free-for-all. The audit team's own daily debriefs and closing meeting become easier to manage too, because one person is tracking what was asked and what was promised.

6.3 What Unclear Roles Look Like

Without role clarity, it shows in the room. Several people answer the same question, sometimes with conflicting framing. SMEs offer opinions outside their responsibility. Document requests are duplicated or missed. The audit team cannot tell who is authorized to commit the entity to anything, which slows the work and draws more scrutiny. The entity looks less organized than it is.

6.4 Rehearse the Roles

Role clarity cannot be negotiated in the middle of an audit. It has to be set before the audit starts and rehearsed enough to hold up under pressure. A good way to do that is an internal dry run, with someone playing the auditor specifically to expose role confusion before the real audit does. The cost is small and the payoff is a calmer audit.

> **From the Field**
>
> Decide the roles before the audit and rehearse them enough to hold under pressure. The dry run is the cheapest insurance available. Several people answering the same question in different words is one of the fastest ways a strong program looks weak.

7 The Daily-Operations Definition of Ready

Measure readiness on an ordinary Tuesday with nothing on the audit calendar.

7.1 The Tuesday Test

Here is the definition. The program is ready when, on any ordinary Tuesday, an audit team could begin a full audit and the entity could hand over current documentation and current evidence and put up SMEs who can explain the program in their own words. No special preparation, no reassembly, no catch-up rehearsals. The audit would find the program in the state it is always in.

This is not purely hypothetical. Appendix 4C allows the Compliance Enforcement Authority to start an unscheduled Compliance Audit at any time if it reasonably determines one is necessary, and it must start one if FERC directs it. The required notice to the entity is at least ten business days. Ten business days is not enough time to build readiness from scratch.

7.2 The Structural Readiness Gap

My view is that most programs cannot pass the Tuesday test. Many could pass it after sixty days of preparation. The distance between Tuesday-ready and sixty-days-ready is the structural readiness gap, and it is what makes audits stressful. Programs that close it have audits that feel like routine engagements. Programs that do not have audits that feel like a crisis every cycle.

7.3 Closing It

In my view, closing the gap takes years. It means continuous documentation maintenance, evidence generated as the work is done, regular SME exposure to the framework, and continuous internal review. None of it is exotic. All of it takes the discipline to keep the cadence when no audit is on the calendar, which is exactly when discipline slips and exactly when it matters most.

The Tuesday test is uncomfortable to apply. Ask yourself honestly how an audit starting next Tuesday would go. Naming the gap is the first step toward closing it, and the structural changes that close it are available to any program willing to commit to them.

> **From the Field**
>
> Ready means an audit could begin on any given Tuesday without special preparation. An unscheduled Compliance Audit can come with as little as ten business days' notice. That is not enough time to get ready. Closing the gap means keeping the cadence when no audit is on the calendar.

8 The Calm-Audit Test

The most telling test of readiness is how the audit felt. A calm audit comes from structural maturity, and the calm is the program's clearest signal.

8.1 Ask the Team

After the audit closes, ask the team how it went. A program that was genuinely ready gives a recognizable answer. The audit was demanding but routine. People kept normal hours. Any findings were ones the entity already knew about and was mitigating. There were no surprises at the exit briefing or in the draft report. The audit team left, the program kept its normal cadence, and the next cycle began without recovery time.

The opposite answer is just as diagnostic. The audit was exhausting. People worked sixteen-hour days. The findings included issues nobody had been tracking. The exit briefing produced surprises the team is still processing. The next cycle starts with recovery work that delays the structural improvements the program needs. The audit consumed the team, and the team has not come back to baseline.

8.2 What the Structural Work Produces

Programs that give the first answer have done the structural work. It is not glamorous and seldom produces anything impressive on a slide. What it produces is calm during the audit, predictability from cycle to cycle, operators who can explain the program in their own words, evidence that reconciles across sources, and a senior leader who is not surprised by what the audit team finds. The program runs at a steady cadence.

8.3 The Deliverable Is the Calm

Building toward that is the central job of compliance leadership. Binders and slide decks are means to it, and so are consultant engagements. The deliverable is the calm.

> **From the Field**
>
> If the audit consumed the team and the team has not returned to baseline, the program was not ready. It survived. The binders, slides and engagements are means. The calm is the product.

Glossary

Term	Meaning in this paper
Area of Concern	In WECC's monitoring materials, a situation that, if not addressed, could develop into future noncompliance or risk to the Bulk Power System.
Compliance Audit	A systematic, objective review and examination of records and activities to determine whether a Registered Entity meets the requirements of applicable Reliability Standards (NERC Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2).
Compliance Enforcement Authority (CEA)	NERC or the Regional Entity in its role of monitoring and enforcing compliance with the NERC Reliability Standards.
Exit briefing	The briefing the Compliance Audit team holds with the Registered Entity at the end of the audit, before the audit report is finalized (Appendix 4C, Section 4.1.1).
Inherent Risk Assessment (IRA)	A CEA review of the potential risks an individual Registered Entity poses to Bulk Power System reliability. The results can shape the scope of compliance monitoring.
Internal controls	In WECC's monitoring materials, the processes an entity uses to make sure its compliance activities are timely, accurate, complete, and documented. WECC evaluates their effectiveness during monitoring engagements.
Mitigation Plan	An action plan developed by the Registered Entity to correct a noncompliance with a Reliability Standard and prevent it from recurring.
Registered Entity	An owner, operator, or user of the Bulk Power System, or the entity registered as its designee for compliance, that is included in the NERC Compliance Registry.
Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet (RSAW)	A worksheet NERC posts on its website for use in auditing compliance with a Reliability Standard (Appendix 4C, Section 4.1).
Required Date	The date given in a CEA notice by which the Registered Entity must take an action, such as submitting requested documents.
SME	Subject matter expert: the person who does the work a requirement covers and can explain it to the audit team.
Unscheduled Compliance Audit	A Compliance Audit the CEA initiates outside the planned schedule when it reasonably determines one is necessary, or when FERC directs it, with at least ten business days' notice to the entity (Appendix 4C, Section 4.1.2).

How Energy Compliance Can Help

Most readiness work has to be done by the people who run the program. An outside senior practitioner helps by seeing the program the way an audit team will, before the audit team does.

Audit readiness and audit defense

We review your program from the regulator's side of the table: procedure against practice, evidence against requirement, and the roles your team will play in the room. When a notice arrives, we help you size preparation to the actual gap and support you through the exit briefing, the draft-report comment period, and any enforcement response that follows, including Notice of Penalty response and settlement posture.

RSAW production and evidence narratives

The RSAW is the audit team's map. We write RSAW narratives tied to the evidence you actually generate, so the narrative describes the operation as it runs and the SMEs can speak to it in their own words.

Compliance program management and design

For programs that want to pass the Tuesday test, we help build the cadence that keeps documentation, evidence and SME familiarity current between audits: governance and program assessments, compliance program design and improvement, mitigation planning and Self-Report development, and training and executive briefings.

WattOps Compliance

WattOps Compliance is EC's NERC compliance evidence platform (wattopscompliance.com). It is built for the part of readiness this paper keeps returning to: evidence that exists because the work was done, organized before anyone asks for it. We will run one standard at one facility through WattOps at no cost (CIP standards excluded).

RenewOps

RenewOps is EC's renewable operations monitoring and analytics platform, with a Data Center module. For renewable, storage and data center operators, it gives the operations side of the documentation-execution gap a reliable record to reconcile against.

If you want to know how your program would fare if an audit started next Tuesday, call me. Have that conversation before the notice arrives.

Website: energycomplianceinc.com · Email: rsmith@complianceforenergy.com

Phone: 763.438.4427

About the Author

Rob Smith is the Founder and Principal of Energy Compliance, Inc., with more than thirty years in the industry on every side of the system. He began in control-room operations as a Reliability Coordinator, Transmission Operator, and Power System Operator across RTO/ISO and utility control centers. On the regulator side, he was a senior compliance auditor and subject matter expert for NERC Reliability Standards. He audited grid facilities, evaluated mitigation adequacy, participated in risk-based oversight of utility mitigation activities, and supported the development of violation notifications and settlements in FERC-directed enforcement actions. He conducted a regulatory audit in the Sultanate of Oman against the Sultanate's Sector Law and Grid Code.

He founded Energy Compliance, Inc. to deliver senior regulator-side compliance authority without the layered staffing and billable-hour overhead of larger firms.

Education:

- MBA, Energy Management, University of Mary
- MSL, Compliance Law, Fordham Law University
- BAS, Energy Management, Bismarck State College
- BAS, Mechanical Engineering, Metallurgy minor, University of Florida
- BAS, Criminology, St. Petersburg College (State Law Enforcement Certification)
- AAS, Power Plant Technology, Bismarck State College
- AAS, Electrical Transmission System Technology, Bismarck State College

Certifications: NERC Lead Auditor training; previously NERC-certified at the Reliability Coordinator level; 1st Class A Boiler Engineer License, Minnesota.

About Energy Compliance, Inc.

Energy Compliance, Inc. is an independent consulting and advisory firm based in Bloomington, Minnesota, focused on electric reliability, cybersecurity reliability, and regulatory compliance for organizations connected to the North American Bulk Electric System.

We support Generator Owners and Operators, Transmission Owners and Operators, Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Distribution Providers across NERC Reliability Standards, FERC orders, RTO/ISO market participation rules, Regional Entity oversight, and state regulatory frameworks. Each engagement is scoped to the entity's role, function, and bulk system impact.

A single senior practitioner with regulator-side experience leads each engagement. We staff for outcomes, not billable hours. Our deliverables are audit-defensible and built for the auditor's question, not the consultant's binder. Where automation can replace manual work, we build it. Where senior judgment is required, the senior is in the room.

Services

- NERC reliability and compliance advisory support
- NERC compliance program support, interpretation, and audit preparation
- Senior advisory: direct engagement on complex reliability questions
- Reliability governance and program assessments
- Regulator-perspective program reviews
- Registration and applicability analysis
- Operational and engineering reliability alignment
- Compliance program design and improvement
- Audit and enforcement support (non-advocacy)
- Audit defense: Notice of Penalty response and settlement posture
- Mitigation planning and Self-Report development
- Training and executive briefings on reliability frameworks
- Industry engagement: standards development and working-group participation

Sources and Authorities

- North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Rules of Procedure, Appendix 4C, Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program (effective May 19, 2022), Sections 4.0 and 4.1, including 4.1.1 Compliance Audit Process, 4.1.2 Frequency of Compliance Audits, 4.1.3 Scope of Compliance Audits, 4.1.4.4 Registered Entity Objections to Compliance Audit Team, and 4.1.5 Compliance Audit Reports. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/appendix-4c.pdf
- North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Rules of Procedure, Appendix 2, Definitions Used in the Rules of Procedure (effective June 27, 2024). https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/appendix-2.pdf
- Western Electricity Coordinating Council, "What to Expect During a Monitoring Activity" (presentation, March 18, 2026). https://www.wecc.org/sites/default/files/documents/meeting/2026/WF3%20What%20to%20Expect%20During%20a%20Monitoring%20Activity.pdf
- North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Reliability Standard Audit Worksheets (RSAWs). https://www.nerc.com/programs/compliance/reliability-standard-audit-worksheets-rsaws

© Energy Compliance, Inc. · EC-WP-704 · October 2026