OPERATIONS SERIES · EC-WP-302

Reliability Coordinator Authority & Wide-Area Risk

RC directive authority and wide-area coordination obligations.

The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Instructions bind every operating entity in its area. This reference explains where that authority comes from, what the IRO standards demand in return, and where the enforcement exposure concentrates.

Energy Compliance, Inc. Professional Reference

energycomplianceinc.com **OCTOBER 2026**

Disclaimer & Legal Notice

This publication is the work product of Energy Compliance, Inc. and is provided for general information and educational purposes only. It does not constitute legal advice, regulatory guidance, engineering advice, or formal interpretation of any NERC Reliability Standard, FERC order, RTO or ISO protocol, or state commission rule.

This publication may not be used or cited as authority in any audit, enforcement, regulatory, or legal proceeding. Compliance with NERC Reliability Standards depends on facts and circumstances unique to each registered entity, and the reader should consult qualified counsel and subject matter experts when making compliance decisions.

NERC, FERC, and the names of Regional Entities are referenced here for descriptive purposes only. Energy Compliance, Inc. is not affiliated with, sponsored by, or endorsed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or any NERC Regional Entity.

Energy Compliance, Inc. assumes no responsibility or liability for errors, omissions, or outcomes resulting from the use of this publication.

Foreword

This professional reference is one of a series Energy Compliance, Inc. publishes for registered entities and the people who run their compliance programs. I have spent more than thirty years on every side of the bulk electric system. I have operated control centers as a Reliability Coordinator, Transmission Operator, and Power System Operator. I have audited grid facilities and signed off on findings as a senior compliance auditor. I have worked enforcement matters from inside the regulator's process. For the last several years I have advised registered entities directly through the firm I founded.

The entities that do reliability well share a habit. They take the standards seriously without confusing them with reliability itself. A NERC Reliability Standard is a floor, not a ceiling. Compliance is something an auditor evaluates; reliability is something a system either delivers or does not. So these entities prepare for audits by building programs that survive real questions, not binders that look thick. That is the perspective these references try to share. Each one focuses on a single topic and walks through how it actually works. They are written for the compliance manager who wants to understand the system, for the legal counsel who has to brief a board honestly, for the senior operator who has been told that compliance and reliability are the same thing and suspects they are not, and for the new compliance hire who was handed a binder and told good luck. Take what is useful. Apply it well. And remember the only test that ultimately matters: when the system needs to perform, does it?

Rob Smith, Founder and Principal, Energy Compliance, Inc.

Executive Summary

The Reliability Coordinator sits at the top of the operational reliability hierarchy. The NERC Glossary calls it the entity that is "the highest level of authority" responsible for Reliable Operation of the Bulk Electric System, with the Wide Area view and the authority to prevent or mitigate emergency operating situations in next-day analysis and real-time operations. That authority is codified for a reason. Reliability events do not always leave time for negotiation, and instability, uncontrolled separation, and Cascading propagate above the level any single Transmission Operator or Balancing Authority can see or control.

The RC is not the only function that issues binding instructions. Under TOP-001-6, Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities issue Operating Instructions too. What sets the RC apart is reach. IRO-001-4 requires every Transmission Operator, Balancing Authority, Generator Operator, and Distribution Provider to comply with its RC's Operating Instructions unless they cannot be physically implemented or would violate safety, equipment, regulatory, or statutory requirements. Cost is not on that list.

- Authority follows analysis. IRO-008-3 requires a next-day Operational Planning Analysis and a Real-time Assessment at least once every 30 minutes, and IRO-002-7 and IRO-018-1(i) govern the monitoring, data quality, and alarm tools that feed them.
- Most RC obligations are coordination: analysis, notification, and outage coordination run continuously, and the Operating Instruction is one tool among them.
- Declaring an Emergency, or an Energy Emergency Alert under EOP-011-4, is the most consequential judgment an RC makes. Declaring late costs reliability. Declaring early costs credibility.
- The seams matter as much as the core. IRO-014-3 and IRO-009-2 set how neighboring RCs notify one another, what happens when they disagree, and which limit governs when their IROLs differ.
- The exposure runs both ways. One of the three serious-risk noncompliance filings NERC reported for 2025 was an IRO-001-4 R2 violation: an entity that did not comply with its RC's Operating Instructions.

This reference walks through where the RC's authority comes from, what it requires, and where the enforcement exposure concentrates.

1 Statutory Foundation and the Institutional Role of the Reliability Coordinator

The Reliability Coordinator is not an informal coordinating body. It is a registered function with obligations set in Reliability Standards that FERC has approved, and those obligations carry the force of federal law.

1.1 A Function Built on Section 215

Congress added Section 215 to the Federal Power Act in the Energy Policy Act of 2005. The section gives FERC jurisdiction over a certified Electric Reliability Organization, any regional entities, and all users, owners, and operators of the bulk-power system, and it requires all users, owners, and operators to comply with the reliability standards that take effect under it. FERC certified NERC as the ERO on July 20, 2006.

Section 215(e)(4) lets the ERO delegate enforcement authority to regional entities by agreement. That is why your audit team usually comes from a Regional Entity rather than from NERC. The RC function sits inside this structure. Its duties come from the IRO, TOP, EOP, COM, and PER standards, and they are as enforceable as any other requirement in the registry.

1.2 What the Glossary Says the RC Is

Functional registration assigns obligations by what an entity does, not by what it owns. The NERC Glossary defines the Reliability Coordinator as the entity that is the highest level of authority responsible for the Reliable Operation of the Bulk Electric System, that has the Wide Area view of the BES, and that has the operating tools, processes, and procedures, including the authority to prevent or mitigate emergency operating situations, in both next-day analysis and real-time operations.

The definition goes one step further. It says the RC's purview must be broad enough to enable the calculation of Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits, which may be based on the operating parameters of transmission systems beyond any Transmission Operator's vision. That clause is the reason the function exists.

A Transmission Operator is responsible for the reliability of its local transmission system. A Balancing Authority maintains Demand and resource balance within its Balancing Authority Area and supports Interconnection frequency in real time. Power flow and stability dynamics do not stop at either boundary. The RC holds the regional view and the authority to act when local optimization is not enough to preserve reliability.

1.3 Bounded Authority, Continuous Obligation

The authority is bounded. IRO-001-4 R1 requires each RC to act to address the reliability of its Reliability Coordinator Area, through direct actions or by issuing Operating Instructions. Reliability is the subject of that requirement. Commercial preference is not.

Registration does not end with the Operating Instruction either. The RC must staff its Real-time positions with System Operators who hold a valid NERC Reliability Operator certificate (PER-003-2 R1). It must keep redundant, diversely routed data exchange inside its primary Control Center and test that redundancy at least once every 90 calendar days (IRO-002-7 R2 and R3). It must run its analyses on schedule under IRO-008-3 and keep its coordination procedures with adjacent RCs current under IRO-014-3. Enforcement can follow from a failure to act, and just as readily from inadequate analysis, monitoring, or coordination.

> **From the Field**
>
> The Reliability Coordinator is the one function whose Operating Instructions reach every TOP, BA, GOP, and DP in its area. An RC is not a senior TOP; it is a different function, with wider visibility and a different relationship to the standards. Conflating the two is a structural error.

2 Wide-Area Situational Awareness and Analytical Obligations

An Operating Instruction is only as legitimate as the analysis behind it. The IRO standards treat that analysis as a requirement in its own right, not as background to the authority.

2.1 Seeing the Whole Area, and Past It

IRO-002-7 R5 requires each RC to monitor Facilities, the status of Remedial Action Schemes, and the non-BES facilities it identifies as necessary, within its own Reliability Coordinator Area and in neighboring RC Areas. The purpose is stated in the requirement: to identify System Operating Limit exceedances and to determine IROL exceedances within its area. R6 adds monitoring systems with particular emphasis on alarm management and awareness systems, automated data transfers, and synchronized information systems, over a redundant infrastructure.

Wide-area awareness is more than visibility into individual elements. It takes in interchange, generation dispatch, transmission and generation outages, contingency sensitivities, and forecast weather stress. The RC integrates the data its Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities provide into tools that test credible contingencies against system performance.

2.2 Real-time Assessment and Next-Day Analysis

Real-time contingency analysis is the center of this work. The Glossary defines a Real-time Assessment as an evaluation of system conditions using Real-time data to assess existing (pre-Contingency) and potential (post-Contingency) operating conditions. It must reflect load, generation output, known Protection System and Remedial Action Scheme status, Transmission and generator outages, Interchange, Facility Ratings, and identified phase angle and equipment limitations. IRO-008-3 R4 requires the RC to ensure a Real-time Assessment is performed at least once every 30 minutes.

When an assessment shows an actual or expected SOL or IROL exceedance within the Wide Area, R5 requires the RC to notify impacted Transmission Operators, Balancing Authorities, and other impacted RCs in accordance with its SOL methodology. R6 requires a second notice once the exceedance has been prevented or mitigated.

Next-day analysis carries the same discipline forward. IRO-008-3 R1 requires an Operational Planning Analysis that tests whether planned next-day operations will exceed SOLs or IROLs within the Wide Area. R2 requires a coordinated Operating Plan for the exceedances it finds, built with the next-day plans of the RC's Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities in view, and R3 requires the RC to tell each impacted entity its role. That is how the RC gets ahead of an exceedance instead of reacting to it in real time.

2.3 When the Tools Go Dark

When tools or data inputs are inaccurate, incomplete, or stale, the RC's ability to see and mitigate developing risk degrades fast. IRO-018-1(i) addresses this directly. It requires an Operating Process or Operating Procedure for the quality of the Real-time data behind monitoring and assessments (R1), another for the quality of the analysis used in Real-time Assessments (R2), and an alarm process monitor that tells System Operators when the Real-time monitoring alarm processor has failed (R3).

> **On the Record**
>
> The U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force found that MISO's state estimator and real-time contingency analysis tools were effectively out of service from 12:15 to 16:04 EDT on August 14, 2003. That prevented MISO from promptly performing precontingency "early warning" assessments over the afternoon. The tools were back about two minutes before the cascade began. Read IRO-008-3 R4 and IRO-018-1(i) with that afternoon in mind.

2.4 The Data Foundation

The data foundation is not optional. IRO-010-5 requires the RC to maintain a documented specification of the data and information it needs for its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments (R1) and to distribute it to the entities that hold that data (R2). R3 then obligates each Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, Generator Owner, Generator Operator, Transmission Operator, Transmission Owner, and Distribution Provider that receives the specification to satisfy it. IRO-010-6 is approved and subject to future enforcement on April 1, 2029.

The Transmission Operator carries its own notification duties. TOP-001-6 R8 requires it to inform its RC of actual or expected operations that result in, or could result in, an Emergency, and R15 requires it to tell the RC what it did to return the System to within limits after an SOL exceedance. A missed notice is a compliance exposure for the TOP because it degrades the picture the RC is required to keep.

> **From the Field**
>
> The RC sees more than any individual TOP or BA. That breadth is a reliability asset and a regulatory burden, and the standards expect the RC to use it, not just hold it. An RC that misses a developing wide-area pattern misses the entire reason the function exists.

3 Directive Authority and Mandatory Compliance

The defining characteristic of the Reliability Coordinator is the authority to act, or to direct others to act, to prevent or mitigate an Emergency or an IROL exceedance. That authority turns the RC from an advisory coordinator into an operational authority, and it creates a matching obligation on every entity that receives its instructions.

3.1 From Directive to Operating Instruction

Practitioners still say "directive." The standards say Operating Instruction. The Glossary defines it as a command by operating personnel responsible for the Real-time operation of the interconnected BES to change or preserve the state, status, output, or input of an Element or Facility of the BES. The same definition makes a point that matters at audit: a discussion of general information, or of potential options or alternatives, is not a command and is not an Operating Instruction. The record has to show which one happened.

The authority appears in two places. IRO-001-4 R1 requires the RC to act through direct actions or Operating Instructions. IRO-009-2 is more specific about IROLs. For each IROL the RC identifies one or more days ahead, R1 requires Operating Processes, Procedures, or Plans that set out the actions the RC will take or direct others to take, up to and including load shedding. R2 requires the RC to initiate one or more Operating Processes, Procedures, or Plans, not limited to the R1 plans, to prevent an IROL exceedance identified in Real-time monitoring or a Real-time Assessment. R3 requires the RC to act or direct others to act so that an exceedance is mitigated within the IROL's Tv, which the Glossary caps at 30 minutes.

An Operating Instruction can take many forms: generation redispatch, switching, transmission reconfiguration, changes to interchange, or any other action needed to preserve reliability. It has to be clear enough that the receiver can either carry it out or say why it cannot. COM-002-4 builds that into the communication itself. When an RC issues an oral two-party, person-to-person Operating Instruction during an Emergency, R5 requires it to confirm a correct repeat-back, reissue the instruction if the repeat is wrong, or take an alternative action if the receiver does not respond or did not understand. R6 puts the matching repeat-back duty on the receiver.

3.2 Compliance Is Mandatory

IRO-001-4 R2 requires each Transmission Operator, Balancing Authority, Generator Operator, and Distribution Provider to comply with its RC's Operating Instructions unless compliance cannot be physically implemented or would violate safety, equipment, regulatory, or statutory requirements. R3 requires the entity to inform its RC when it cannot perform the instruction. M2 expects an entity that invoked an exception to produce copies of the safety, equipment,

regulatory, or statutory requirement it relied on. All three requirements carry a High Violation Risk Factor.

Read the exception list closely. None of it is commercial. A market or dispatch cost does not relieve a TOP, BA, GOP, or DP of the obligation to comply. My advice is to tell the RC what you can do when you report what you cannot, but the standard itself requires only the notice.

The obligation runs the other way too. When the analysis identifies a coming IROL exceedance, initiating the plan and acting within Tv is not discretionary for the RC under IRO-009-2 R2 and R3. A failure to act is itself a potential violation.

NERC's 2025 ORCP and CMEP Annual Report shows how this plays out. One of the three serious-risk filings for 2025 involved IRO-001-4 R2. The registered entity failed to comply with its RC's Operating Instructions after the RC told it a lengthier stability study was needed before the requested generator unit testing could occur. In effect, the instruction in that case was to wait. An Operating Instruction to preserve a state counts the same as one to change it.

3.3 The Record Is the Proof

Documentation is the difference between an authority that withstands audit and one that does not. Time-stamped issuance, acknowledgment, and confirmation of completion belong in the compliance record. The IRO-001-4 measures name the evidence: dated operator logs, dated records, dated and time-stamped voice recordings or transcripts, electronic communications, or equivalent documentation.

Mind the retention clock. IRO-001-4 requires the RC, and each TOP, BA, GOP, and DP, to keep voice recordings for the most recent 90 calendar days and documentation for the most recent 12 calendar months. Where that is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask for other evidence covering the full period. An entity found noncompliant keeps the related information until mitigation is complete and approved, or for the standard period, whichever is longer.

In a post-event review, expect the Regional Entity to ask whether the instruction was issued in time, in enough detail, and carried out within a reasonable time. Operating Instructions complete the operational hierarchy. Planning sets the analytical boundaries, TOPs and BAs operate within them in real time, and the RC exercises wide-area authority to keep the system stable when local action alone will not.

> **From the Field**
>
> An RC Operating Instruction carries the force of NERC compliance. Refusing one, absent a recognized exception, is noncompliance with IRO-001-4 R2. The instruction is not advisory. The TOP, BA, GOP, or DP receiving it is required to act, and disagreement gets resolved afterward, not during the event.

4 Coordination with Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities

The Reliability Coordinator does not replace the Transmission Operator or the Balancing Authority. It overlays them.

4.1 Three Functions, One Picture

The TOP still operates its transmission system within its limits, tracking loadings, voltages, and local disturbances. Under TOP-001-6 R1 it acts to maintain the reliability of its Transmission Operator Area through its own actions or by issuing Operating Instructions. The BA does the same for its Balancing Authority Area under R2, balancing resources and Demand and supporting Interconnection frequency.

The RC sits on top of both. It assembles the local views into a wide-area picture and acts where a local condition creates a regional risk. The arrangement works only when coordination among RC, TOP, and BA is disciplined and timely.

4.2 Building the Wide-Area View

To build the wide-area view, the RC has to obtain and integrate outage information, interchange schedules, generation availability, and limit-exceedance notifications. Coordination matters most under stress. A local overload may be contained within a single TOP's area, yet the RC's contingency analysis may show that the same condition, combined with a planned outage or a change in dispatch, drives the system toward an IROL exceedance. Coordination is what lets the RC direct corrective action before instability develops and spreads.

Balancing Authorities are often part of redispatch. They adjust generation, modify interchange, or deploy reserves in step with transmission operations, so that relieving one constraint does not create another somewhere else.

4.3 Outages, Interchange, and Emergency Plans

Outage and interchange coordination are recurring sources of either reliability or exposure. IRO-017-1 R1 requires each RC to develop, implement, and maintain an outage coordination process for generation and Transmission outages within its area, and R2 requires its Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities to perform the functions that process assigns them. Planning Coordinators and Transmission Planners must provide their Planning Assessments to impacted RCs (R3) and jointly develop solutions with them for identified issues or conflicts (R4). The process must define how the RC evaluates the impact of outages within its Wide Area (R1.3) and how identified outage conflicts get resolved with its TOPs, BAs, and other RCs (R1.4). Rescheduling or conditioning an outage is one common resolution.

Interchange between Balancing Authority Areas materially affects transmission loading, so the RC needs visibility into schedules and the authority to change them when they bear on reliability.

The RC also reviews the plans its operators will use in an Emergency. EOP-011-4 R3 requires it to review each TOP and BA Operating Plan for mitigating operating Emergencies within 30 calendar days of receipt, checking compatibility and inter-dependency with other plans and coordination to avoid risk to Wide Area reliability, and to notify each entity of the result. Under R4, the TOP or BA must address the risks the RC identifies and resubmit within the time the RC specifies.

In my view, the exposure here concentrates in coordination breakdowns: a limit exceedance reported late or incompletely, an outage noticed late, a disagreement over required mitigation. When the Regional Entity looks, it asks whether the required information was communicated, by the required means, within the required time.

> **From the Field**
>
> When the RC directs, the TOP or BA executes. When the RC coordinates, the TOP or BA decides. Both relationships exist in the framework, and knowing which one is in play at any moment matters. Most RC interaction is coordination, not direction. The instruction authority is rarely used, but the coordination role is constant.

5 Emergency Declarations and Interconnection-Level Response

The RC's authority is most visible under emergency conditions. Routine congestion and local overloads are handled through established operating procedures. Conditions that threaten instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading are different in kind, and the framework provides for formal recognition and declaration so that the response can be coordinated.

5.1 Recognizing an Emergency

The Glossary defines an Emergency as any abnormal system condition that requires automatic or immediate manual action to prevent or limit the failure of transmission facilities or generation supply that could adversely affect the reliability of the BES. Weather, loss of generation or transmission, fuel constraints, or cascading effects can all produce one. The RC applies its analytical capability to decide whether emergency action is required and then coordinates the response.

5.2 Notification and Energy Emergency Alerts

EOP-011-4 sets the clock. When a TOP or BA in its area notifies the RC of an Emergency, R5 requires the RC to notify the other Balancing Authorities and Transmission Operators in its area, and its neighboring RCs, within 30 minutes. When a BA in its area experiences a potential or actual Energy Emergency, meaning it has exhausted all other resource options and can no longer meet its expected Load obligations, R6 requires the RC to declare an Energy Emergency Alert under Attachment 1.

Attachment 1 defines three levels. EEA 1 means all available generation resources are in use. EEA 2 means load management procedures are in effect. EEA 3 means firm Load interruption is imminent or in progress.

In an Emergency, Transmission Operators and Balancing Authorities may execute emergency procedures that include redispatch, interchange curtailment, and, as a last resort, load shedding. The RC coordinates those actions across its area so that mitigation in one place does not shift unacceptable risk to a neighbor. Under IRO-014-3 R3, an RC that identifies an expected or actual Emergency in its area must also notify other impacted RCs.

5.3 When the System Separates

Under severe stress, automatic schemes such as underfrequency or undervoltage load shedding may operate before anyone gives an instruction. The RC has to anticipate their effect and be ready to coordinate what comes next. EOP-006-3 R1 requires each RC to develop and implement an RC Area restoration plan. Its scope starts when Blackstart Resources are used to re-energize a shutdown area of the BES, when separation has occurred between neighboring

RCs, or when an energized island has formed in the RC Area. It ends when all of the RC's Transmission Operators are interconnected and its area is connected to all of its neighboring RC Areas.

Wide-area disturbances are often not contained within a single RC Area. Interconnection-level response depends on information sharing and coordinated countermeasures among adjacent RCs.

5.4 The Record of the Declaration

Documentation again governs the audit outcome. The sequence of declaration, the instructions issued, the acknowledgments received, and the actions taken belong in the disturbance and compliance record. Expect a post-event review to test whether the emergency criteria were recognized and whether direction was issued without undue delay.

Accountability counterbalances authority. EOP-011-4 R6 says the RC "shall declare" an Energy Emergency Alert when its conditions are met, so a declaration that never comes is a compliance problem. Escalating without an analytical basis carries a different cost: the next declaration is taken less seriously. The standards require judgment, exercised quickly, supported by analysis, and recorded.

> **From the Field**
>
> An RC emergency declaration is a structural shift: it changes the operating posture across the entire footprint.

6 Inter-RC Coordination and Interconnection Integrity

The Bulk Electric System is divided into Reliability Coordinator Areas, but the physics of the grid does not respect administrative boundaries. Power flows across seams, contingencies interact across them, and a frequency event is shared by everyone on the synchronized Interconnection.

6.1 Procedures for the Seam

A reliable RC model has to account for authority and control within an area and for the behavior that crosses the seams between areas. IRO-014-3 R1 requires each RC to have and implement Operating Procedures, Processes, or Plans for activities that require notification or coordination of actions that may affect adjacent RC Areas. They must cover, at a minimum, criteria and processes for notifications; energy and capacity shortages; control of voltage, including coordination of reactive resources; exchange of information, including planned and unplanned outage information, to support Operational Planning Analyses and Real-time Assessments; and periodic communications. IRO-002-7 R5 already requires each RC to monitor neighboring RC Areas, and IRO-008-3 R5 requires notice to other impacted RCs, as indicated in its Operating Plan, when a Real-time Assessment shows an actual or expected condition that results in, or could result in, an SOL or IROL exceedance.

6.2 Limits and Disagreements Near the Seam

A constraint near the boundary of two RC Areas may not be fully visible from within either one, so coordinated studies, common assumptions, and shared contingency analysis are needed to manage it. IROLs near a seam demand particular discipline. Where RCs responsible for the same Facility or group of Facilities differ on an IROL or its Tv, IRO-009-2 R4 requires each to operate to the most limiting value.

IRO-014-3 also settles what happens when neighbors disagree about whether an Emergency exists. Each impacted RC must operate as though the Emergency exists (R4). The RC that identified it must develop an action plan to resolve it (R5), and each impacted RC must implement that plan unless doing so would violate safety, equipment, regulatory, or statutory requirements (R6). Under R7, an RC must assist another RC that asks, if it is able and the requesting RC has implemented its own emergency procedures, unless the assistance cannot be physically implemented or would violate those same requirements.

6.3 What Post-Event Reviews Look For

Post-event reviews examine how well cross-RC coordination worked. They ask whether neighboring RCs were notified early enough and whether their communication was good

enough to keep a local event from becoming a wide-area one. The 2003 blackout report is the standing example. The Task Force concluded that MISO, as reliability coordinator, should have issued directives to FirstEnergy to return its system to a safe and reliable level before the cascading outages occurred, even though it lacked authority under a signed agreement.

The RC has to hold a balance. It has authority within its own area, yet it is accountable for actions taken in the interest of the wider Interconnection. Inter-RC coordination is the mechanism that compensates for dividing a synchronized grid into administrative areas.

> **From the Field**
>
> Two RCs that do not communicate well are two RCs that will eventually mismanage a wide-area event. The protocols exist, but they only work if the RCs use them. Neighboring RCs are each other's first line of defense at the seam.

7 Enforcement Exposure and Institutional Accountability

The RC function carries significant enforcement sensitivity because it sits at the top of the operational reliability hierarchy. A shortcoming in RC analysis, communication, or instruction can affect a large portion of the Bulk Electric System.

7.1 Why RC Findings Carry Weight

The Violation Risk Factors reflect that. IRO-001-4 R1 through R3, IRO-008-3 R4 and R5, IRO-009-2 R2 through R4, IRO-014-3 R4 through R7, IRO-002-7 R5 and R6, and PER-003-2 R1 all carry a High VRF. Exposure arises from failure to maintain adequate situational awareness, failure to recognize or act on an actual or potential IROL condition, undue delay in issuing an Operating Instruction, and inadequate coordination with adjacent RCs. The table below lists the standards an RC program is built on, with status as shown on NERC's standards pages in October 2026.

Standard	Subject	Status (NERC, Oct. 2026)
IRO-001-4	Reliability Coordination, Responsibilities	Effective 4/1/2017
IRO-002-7	Monitoring and Analysis	Effective 4/1/2021
IRO-008-3	Operational Analyses and Real-time Assessments	Effective 4/1/2024
IRO-009-2	RC Actions to Operate Within IROLs	Effective 1/1/2016
IRO-010-5	Data and Information Specification and Collection	Effective 7/1/2025; IRO-010-6 effective 4/1/2029
IRO-014-3	Coordination Among Reliability Coordinators	Effective 4/1/2017
IRO-017-1	Outage Coordination	Effective 4/1/2017
IRO-018-1(i)	Real-time Monitoring and Analysis Capabilities	Effective 4/1/2018
EOP-011-4	Emergency Operations	Effective 10/1/2024
EOP-006-3	System Restoration Coordination	Effective 4/1/2019
COM-002-4	Operating Personnel Communications Protocols	Effective 7/1/2016
PER-003-2	Operating Personnel Credentials	Effective 7/1/2019

7.2 Outcomes Do Not Prove Compliance

The framework is preventive. The absence of a cascading failure does not establish compliance, and the occurrence of one does not by itself establish a violation. The question is whether the RC identified and mitigated risk as the standards require.

NERC's 2025 annual report shows where serious risk sat that year. Besides the IRO-001-4 R2 case in Chapter 3, one of the three serious-risk filings was a TOP-001-5 R1 violation: a Transmission Operator that failed to act to maintain reliability because of a known programmatic weakness in its alarm notification system, which in the second of two instances resulted in a loss of load. Tools, alarms, and the record of who did what are where an RC program should test itself first.

Successful mitigation of a reliability condition does not cure a documentation gap. The record itself is what the measures ask for, and the exercise of authority has to be traceable to specific, time-stamped action.

7.3 Self-Reporting and Program Adequacy

Self-reporting under the Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program applies to the RC like any other registered function. Appendix 4C to the NERC Rules of Procedure encourages a Self-Report when a registered entity becomes aware that it has, or may have, violated a Reliability Standard, and the report includes a risk assessment of the noncompliance. In 2025, registered entities self-identified approximately 85 percent of reported noncompliance, according to NERC. Where an RC finds a weakness in its tools, communication pathways, or instruction procedures, it should decide whether noncompliance exists and report where appropriate. In my view, the mitigation usually includes tool validation, procedural revision, training, and better seam coordination.

My advice is to assume that any event analysis will also be read on the compliance side. A post-event look at RC situational awareness and coordination can surface compliance questions independent of the technical cause of the disturbance. Institutional accountability also extends beyond any single event. Certified operators, redundant and tested tools, and maintained procedures are continuing requirements, so a review may address the general adequacy of the program rather than one discrete lapse.

> **From the Field**
>
> RC audits are unusual in the framework: the auditor is examining an entity that holds instruction authority over others, and the conversation reflects that. RC compliance hinges on demonstrable judgment, not just procedure. The Region wants to see that the authority was exercised appropriately, with evidence, in real-time scenarios. A finding against an RC echoes through every TOP and BA in its footprint, so the audit framing has consequences well beyond the entity being examined.

8 The Centralization Paradox: Decentralized Operations and Centralized Reliability Authority

The Reliability Coordinator embodies a structural tension. The Bulk Electric System is operated by a constellation of specialized entities, including Transmission Operators, Balancing Authorities, and Generator Operators, each with detailed knowledge of and direct control over its own resources. The Interconnection also needs a function that can make system-wide decisions binding on all of them. The RC is the institutional answer to that need, and the paradox lives at the center of the role.

8.1 What Local Operators Know

Decentralization has real value. Local operators know their systems, their equipment, and their constraints, which makes for faster and more adaptive decisions. But optimal local operation is no guarantee of system security, because grid physics does not stop at an operating-area boundary. When power is redispatched in one area, the effects on other areas can be significant and are not always intuitive. The RC's wide-area awareness and instruction authority exist to fold the local views into an interconnection-wide analysis and to order mitigation that serves system-wide stability rather than a local economic or operational preference.

8.2 Too Much, Too Little

The paradox shows itself in practice. Too much centralization erodes local initiative and adds decision latency that can slow emergency response. Too little lets uncoordinated local action diverge during an emergency. The standards manage the tension deliberately. The RC's authority is bounded to reliability. Its Operating Instructions must rest on analysis and travel under the documented communications protocols COM-002-4 requires. And the narrow exceptions for physical impossibility and for safety, equipment, regulatory, and statutory limits preserve local technical discretion.

8.3 Trust as a Reliability Asset

As Interconnections grow more complex, with more interregional transfers, more inverter-based resources, and more variability, the analytical burden on the RC rises. The relationship of trust between the central authority and the local operators becomes more important, not less. That trust is built through transparent analysis and disciplined communication, and the IRO and COM standards build documentation and coordination into the requirements.

The centralization paradox is the necessary consequence of operating a large, synchronized grid made up of many independent organizations, and the RC function is how the framework holds the two needs in balance.

> **From the Field**
>
> Centralized authority and decentralized operation are conditions to be balanced in real time. The RC model preserves local discretion through the exception provisions while reserving binding authority for the wide-area risks that no single operator can manage. That balance is the whole point of the function.

Glossary

The terms below are summarized from the NERC Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards, as published in October 2026, and are limited to terms used in this reference. Consult the current Glossary for the official wording and any revisions.

Term	Meaning in this paper
Balancing Authority (BA)	The responsible entity that integrates resource plans ahead of time, maintains Demand and resource balance within a Balancing Authority Area, and supports Interconnection frequency in real time.
Bulk Electric System (BES)	Unless modified by the inclusions and exclusions in the definition, all Transmission Elements operated at 100 kV or higher and Real Power and Reactive Power resources connected at 100 kV or higher. It does not include facilities used in the local distribution of electric energy.
Cascading	The uncontrolled successive loss of System Elements triggered by an incident at any location, resulting in widespread electric service interruption that cannot be restrained from sequentially spreading beyond an area predetermined by studies.
Emergency (BES Emergency)	Any abnormal system condition that requires automatic or immediate manual action to prevent or limit the failure of transmission facilities or generation supply that could adversely affect the reliability of the BES.
Energy Emergency	A condition when a Load-Serving Entity or Balancing Authority has exhausted all other resource options and can no longer meet its expected Load obligations.
Interconnection	A geographic area in which the operation of Bulk Power System components is synchronized such that the failure of one or more components may adversely affect the ability of other operators to maintain Reliable Operation. Capitalized, any one of the four major networks in North America: Eastern, Western, ERCOT, and Quebec.
Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit (IROL)	A System Operating Limit that, if violated, could lead to instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading outages that adversely impact the reliability of the BES.
IROL Tv	The maximum time an IROL can be violated before the risk to the interconnection or other RC Areas becomes greater than acceptable. Each IROL's Tv is 30 minutes or less.
Operating Instruction	A command by operating personnel responsible for the Real-time operation of the interconnected BES to change or preserve the state, status, output, or input of an Element or Facility of the BES. A discussion of general information or of potential options is not an Operating Instruction.

Term	Meaning in this paper
Operational Planning Analysis	An evaluation of projected system conditions to assess anticipated (pre-Contingency) and potential (post-Contingency) conditions for next-day operations.
Real-time Assessment	An evaluation of system conditions using Real-time data to assess existing (pre-Contingency) and potential (post-Contingency) operating conditions.
Reliability Coordinator (RC)	The entity that is the highest level of authority responsible for the Reliable Operation of the BES, has the Wide Area view of the BES, and has the operating tools, processes, and procedures, including the authority to prevent or mitigate emergency operating situations, in both next-day analysis and real-time operations.
Reliability Coordinator Area	The collection of generation, transmission, and loads within the boundaries of the Reliability Coordinator. Its boundary coincides with one or more Balancing Authority Areas.
System Operating Limit (SOL)	All Facility Ratings, System Voltage Limits, and stability limits, applicable to specified System configurations, used in BES operations for monitoring and assessing pre- and post-Contingency operating states.
Transmission Operator (TOP)	The entity responsible for the reliability of its local transmission system, and that operates or directs the operations of the transmission Facilities.
Wide Area	The entire Reliability Coordinator Area as well as the critical flow and status information from adjacent Reliability Coordinator Areas, as determined by detailed system studies, to allow the calculation of IROLs.

How Energy Compliance Can Help

RC compliance is proven in the record: time-stamped Operating Instructions, Real-time Assessments, and notices to neighbors. Here is where EC fits, for the RC and for the entities taking its instructions.

Audit preparation and audit defense

A regulator-perspective program review shows what an audit team will ask about your IRO, TOP, EOP, and COM evidence. EC provides NERC compliance program support, interpretation, and audit preparation; RSAW production and data request strategy; audit and enforcement support (non-advocacy); audit defense with Notice of Penalty response and settlement posture; and mitigation planning and Self-Report development when something has already gone wrong.

Operations and program alignment

Operational and engineering reliability alignment ties the control room to the program. Compliance program design and improvement, compliance program management, reliability governance and program assessments, and training and executive briefings on reliability frameworks support RCs and the entities that answer to them. EC also offers NERC reliability and compliance advisory support, senior advisory on complex reliability questions, registration and applicability analysis, and industry engagement in standards development and working-group participation. Each engagement is scoped to the entity's role, function, and bulk system impact.

WattOps Compliance

IRO-001-4 retention runs 90 days for voice and 12 months for documentation; audits can reach further back. WattOps Compliance is EC's NERC compliance evidence platform (wattopscompliance.com). EC will run one standard at one facility through WattOps at no cost (CIP standards excluded).

RenewOps

Renewable and storage plants take RC Operating Instructions too. RenewOps is EC's renewable operations monitoring and analytics platform, with a Data Center module.

If your program could not reconstruct last quarter's hardest Operating Instruction from issue to completion, call us before an auditor asks.

Website: energycomplianceinc.com · Email: rsmith@complianceforenergy.com

Phone: 763.438.4427

About the Author

Rob Smith is the Founder and Principal of Energy Compliance, Inc., with more than thirty years in the industry on every side of the system. He began in control-room operations as a Reliability Coordinator, Transmission Operator, and Power System Operator across RTO/ISO and utility control centers. On the regulator side, he was a senior compliance auditor and subject matter expert for NERC Reliability Standards. He audited grid facilities, evaluated mitigation adequacy, participated in risk-based oversight of utility mitigation activities, and supported the development of violation notifications and settlements in FERC-directed enforcement actions. He conducted a regulatory audit in the Sultanate of Oman against the Sultanate's Sector Law and Grid Code.

He founded Energy Compliance, Inc. to deliver senior regulator-side compliance authority without the layered staffing and billable-hour overhead of larger firms.

Education:

- MBA, Energy Management, University of Mary
- MSL, Compliance Law, Fordham Law University
- BAS, Energy Management, Bismarck State College
- BAS, Mechanical Engineering, Metallurgy minor, University of Florida
- BAS, Criminology, St. Petersburg College (State Law Enforcement Certification)
- AAS, Power Plant Technology, Bismarck State College
- AAS, Electrical Transmission System Technology, Bismarck State College

Certifications: NERC Lead Auditor training; previously NERC-certified at the Reliability Coordinator level; 1st Class A Boiler Engineer License, Minnesota.

About Energy Compliance, Inc.

Energy Compliance, Inc. is an independent consulting and advisory firm based in Bloomington, Minnesota, focused exclusively on electric reliability, cybersecurity reliability, and regulatory compliance for organizations connected to the North American Bulk Electric System.

We support registered entities, including Generator Owners and Operators, Transmission Owners and Operators, Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, and Distribution Providers. Our work spans NERC Reliability Standards, FERC orders, RTO/ISO market participation rules, Regional Entity oversight, and state regulatory frameworks.

We do this work differently than larger consulting firms. A senior practitioner with regulator-side experience oversees every engagement. We staff for outcomes, not billable hours. Our deliverables are written to be operationally executable and audit-defensible, structured for the auditor's question rather than the consultant's binder. Where automation can replace manual work, we build the automation. Where senior judgment is required, the senior is in the room.

Energy Compliance is not affiliated with, sponsored by, or endorsed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or any Regional Entity.

Learn more at energycomplianceinc.com.

Sources and Authorities

- Federal Power Act Section 215, Electric Reliability, 16 U.S.C. § 824o (added by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-58, § 1211). https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/16/824o
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Order on the Electric Reliability Organization's Five-Year Performance Assessment, 149 FERC ¶ 61,141, Docket No. RR14-5-000 (November 20, 2014), describing the ERO Certification Order, 116 FERC ¶ 61,062 (July 20, 2006). https://www.ferc.gov/sites/default/files/2020-05/E-10_9.pdf
- North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), Reliability Standard IRO-001-4, Reliability Coordination, Responsibilities. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/iro/iro-001-4.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standard IRO-002-7, Reliability Coordination, Monitoring and Analysis. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/iro/iro-002-7.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standard IRO-008-3, Reliability Coordinator Operational Analyses and Real-time Assessments. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/iro/iro-008-3.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standard IRO-009-2, Reliability Coordinator Actions to Operate Within IROLs. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/iro/iro-009-2.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standard IRO-010-5, Reliability Coordinator Data and Information Specification and Collection. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/iro/iro-010-5.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standard IRO-014-3, Coordination Among Reliability Coordinators. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/iro/iro-014-3.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standard IRO-017-1, Outage Coordination. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/iro/iro-017-1.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standard IRO-018-1(i), Reliability Coordinator Real-time Reliability Monitoring and Analysis Capabilities. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/iro/iro-018-1i.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standards, IRO family listing (status and effective dates). https://www.nerc.com/standards/reliability-standards/iro
- NERC, Reliability Standard TOP-001-6, Transmission Operations. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/top/top-001-6.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standard EOP-011-4, Emergency Operations. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/eop/eop-011-4.pdf

- NERC, Reliability Standard EOP-006-3, System Restoration Coordination. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/eop/eop-006-3.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standards, EOP family listing. https://www.nerc.com/standards/reliability-standards/eop
- NERC, Reliability Standard COM-002-4, Operating Personnel Communications Protocols. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/com/com-002-4.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standards, COM family listing. https://www.nerc.com/standards/reliability-standards/com
- NERC, Reliability Standard PER-003-2, Operating Personnel Credentials. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/standards/reliability-standards/per/per-003-2.pdf
- NERC, Reliability Standards, PER family listing. https://www.nerc.com/standards/reliability-standards/per
- NERC, Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards. https://www.nerc.com/glossary-of-terms
- NERC, Rules of Procedure, Appendix 4C, Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program, Section 4.5, Self-Reports. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/who-we-are/rules-of-procedure/appendix-4c.pdf
- NERC, ORCP and CMEP Annual Report (February 11, 2026), covering 2025. https://www.nerc.com/globalassets/programs/enforcement/cmep-and-vegetation-reports/2025-orcp-and-cmep-annual-report.pdf
- U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force, Final Report on the August 14, 2003 Blackout in the United States and Canada: Causes and Recommendations (April 2004). https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/oeprod/DocumentsandMedia/BlackoutFinal-Web.pdf

© Energy Compliance, Inc. · EC-WP-302 · October 2026